. . . .

Closure of the Colostomy

Su Ho Cha, M.D., Byung Seok Kim, M.D., Duk Jin Moon, M.D. and Ju Sub Park, M.D.

Department of Surgery, Kwangju Christian Hospital, Kang-Ju, Korea

Purpose: To investigate the timing of colostomy closure and the associated risk factors that affect the development of complication after colostomy closure. **Methods:** We have reviewed and analyzed the results of 28 patients with colostomy closure at the Kwangju Christian Hospital from January 1993 to December 1997. We investigated to associated literatures on this subject for timing of colostomy closure, preparing a patient for colostomy closure, suture technique, wound management, underlying disease process related to the incidence of complication and experience of surgeons. **Result:** Wound infection developed in 4 patients (14.4%). Anastomotic leakage occurred in one patient (3.6%). Small bowel obstruction developed in two patients (7.2%). Overall incidence of complication was 25%. The incidence of complications in patients with trauma who underwent colostomy was 44.4% and patients without trauma, 15.8%. Complication rate was 16.6% for loop colostomies and 40% for end colostomies. The morbidity was 40% for colostomies on the left side, 18.7% for transverse colostomies, and 0% for colostomies (2 ileostomies) on the right side. The morbidity rate for closures within 6 weeks for the initial operation was 50%; for those within 6 to 12 weeks, 8.3%; and for those after 12 weeks, 16.6%. **Conclusion:** The optimal timing of closure varies from patient to patient, but closure within 6 weeks of the initial operation significantly increased the morbidity. Colostomies on the left side are associated with a higher morbidity rate than transverse colostomies or colostomies on the right side. (**JKSCP 2000;16:429 – 435**)

Key Words: Colostomy closure, Complication, Timing of colostomy closure

, ,

, (Table 1)

: , 264 (: 503-715) (Tel: 062-650-5646(), 062-650-5036() 1993 1 1997 12 5

Table 1. Factors that affect the development of complication after colostomy closure

Timing of colostomy closure	
Preparing a patient for colostomy closure	
Site and type of colostomy	
Suture technique and wound management	
Underlying disease process related to the incidence	
of complications	
Experience of surgeon	

Table 2. Distribution of age and sex

Age	Male	Female	Total	Percent
0 10	2	1	3	10.7
11 20	1		1	3.6
21 30	4		4	14.3
31 40		1	1	3.6
41 50	4	1	5	17.8
51 60	3	4	7	25.0
61 70	4	1	5	17.8
71	2		2	7.2
Total	20	8	28	100

121 89
, 32 28 (87.5%)
. 4
, , , , , , , ,

,

25 , 3

,

1) 1 71 , 44.5

Table 3. Underlying disease of colostomy closure

Disease		No. of patients (%)	Mean age
Malignancy	Perforation	4 (14.3)	67.0
	Obstruction	9 (32.14)	61.5
Trauma		9 (32.14)	40.5
Congenital megacolon		6 (21.42)	11.0
Total		28 (100)	44.5

Table 4. Mean interval for repair by underlying disease

Disease	Weeks
Malignancy	9
Trauma	7
Congenital megacolon	33

(21.4%) (Table 3).

(End colostomy) 10 (35.7%)
, (Loop colostomy)

18 (64.3%) . 16 (57.1%) 7 , S 10 (35.7%), 2 (7.2%) .

7 33 (Table 4).

3)

(Table 5).

4 44.4%

3 : **431**

Table 5. Complications in relation to underlying disease

C1:4:		Tatal (assertl 0/)			
Complications	Malignancy Trauma Cong.		Cong. megacolon	— Total (overall %)	
Wound infection	_	4	_	4 (14.4)	
Anastomotic leakage	1	_	_	1 (3.6)	
Small bowel obstruction	1	_	1	2 (7.2)	
Total (%)	2 (14.4)	4 (44.4)	1 (16.6)	7 (25.2)	

Table 6. Relationship of time interval by complications

Interval (patients)	Anastomotic leakage	Wound infection	Small bowel obstruction
< 6 weeks (10)	1	3	1
6 12 weeks (12)	_	1	_
> 12 weeks (6)	_	_	1

6
(Table 6).
S
(8, 2, 2,) 40% (4/10,),
(16,) 18.7% (3/16,),

16.6% (3/18),

3

7

40% (4/10)

, 25

•

Table 7. Morbidity and mortality of colostomy closure

Author	Patients	Morbidity	Mortality
Barnett et al (1974)	110	43.6	4.5
Adeyemo et al (1974)	43	15.4	0
Wheeler (1976)	73	37.8	2.7
Mitchell et al (1978) ²¹	89	23.5	2.2
Lewis and Weedon (1982)	60	16.7	1.0
Oluwole et al (1982) ²⁴	86	10.5	1.1
Parks and Hasting (1985) ²⁵	83	43.0	0
Pittman and Smith (1985)	126	22.9	0
Irvin (1987)	98	3.0	0
Demetriades et al (1988)	110	14.5	0
Livingstone et al (1989)	12 1	9.9	0
Mosdel et al (1991)	89	25.5	0
(1986)	50	36.0	0
(1987)	45	46.6	2.2
(1994) ²⁶	38	31.6	0

, 가 .

フト , Table 7

.

(timing of colostomy clo-

sure) ,

(diversion

of fecal stream) 가

가

2
. Aston Everett, Barnett

, Finch³ Anderson ⁴ 2 가

Mirelman ⁵ 6 3 (Table 8)

6
50%, 28.6% 6
Livingston 6

6 가 .

. 가

가 6 3 가 가

(preparing a pa-

 Table 8. Incidence of complications along the interval of colostomy closure

Interval (months)	No. of patients	No. with complication	% with complication
0 3	41	21	51.2
4 6	35	12	34.3
7 12	26	9	34.6
> 12	16	3	18.8
Total	118	45	

tient for colostomy closure)

,

. 가

compliance

(unmanageable perineal colostomy)

.^{8,10-12} Demetrios ⁷ 가 , Dolan ¹⁰ 가 가 , Thal Yeary¹² 가

() , Table 9

フト フト (site, type of colostomy)

1)

S

Table 9. Incidence of complications after colostomy closure with or without antibiotic bowel preparation

가

Author	No. of cases	Rate of complication	Fecal fistula	Wound infection
Barnett	108	(28.6%) 46.0%	(7.1%) 7.7%	(28.5%) 46.1%
Finch	180	(27.2%) 34.5%	(6.0%) 12.3%	(21.2%) 22.2%
Knox	179	(34.0%) 29.3%	(23.7%) 25.0%	(11.1%) 6.8%
Yakimets	71	(28.2%) 58.0%	No breakdow	n reported
Wheeler & Barker	74	(43.0%) 22.2%	(18.4%) 11.1%	(24.6%) 11.1%
Total	612	(32.2%) 38.0%	(13.8%) 14.0%	(21.4%) 21.6%

^{() =} bowel preparation with antibiotics.

3 : **433**

가 2) . 3) (drain) (primary closure) 가 (delayed primary closure) 가 ,13 (loop) (end) 가 14,15 18.7%, S 0% S 40%, 16.6%, 40% 14,15 가 가 . Table 10 가 (fecal fistula) 6,14,15 가 가 1) , 2) , 3)

Table 10. Incidence of fecal fistula after colostomy closure in relation to colostomy site

Author	No. of patients	Transverse colostomy	Sigmoid colostomy
Finch	114	13/92 (14.1%)	7/22 (31.8%)
Knox et al	179	19/99 (19.1%)	23/80 (28.7%)
Wheeler & Barker	74	11/69 (15.9%)	2/5 (40.0%)
Total	367	43/260 (11.9%)	32/107 (29.9%)
Moon	50	13/33 (39.0%)	6/17 (35.0%)
Hwang	38	7/18 (38.8%)	5/20 (25.0%)

Table 11. Underlying disease process related to the incidence of complications

Author	No. of patients	Inflammation (%)	Neoplasm (%)	Trauma (%)
Barnett	110	6/49 (12.2)	2/42 (4.6)	0/18 (0)
Knox	179	26/86 (30.2)	13/76 (17.1)	3/17 (17.6)
Wheeler & Barker	74	4/25 (16.0)	8/43 (18.6)	1/6 (16.7)
Sarah ²¹	83	3/14 (21.4)	2/1 (50.0)	27/63 (40.0)
Moon	50	2/5 (40.0)	1/8 (12.5)	14/25 (56.0)

434	16	6	2000		
	16		56%,		
40%	,				
가 44.4%				가	
가					
Table 11 .					
		Finc	h ³		
			,		
•				가	
2	가	,17			
	- 1 -1			-1	
	가 가			가	
, 가		,		가	
0%	18%				
,	가 기	Ť			
. 16					,
1 (3.5%)					
Tilson	18				
(experi	ence of the	ne sui	rgeon)		
Henry Everett ¹⁹					
, Demetros ⁷					
senior surgeon 9.	3%	j	unior	surgeon	
23.2% junior surgeon					
,	309	%(6/20)), 2	25%(2/8)
	•				
	6				3
				,	-

REFERENCES

가

가

- Aston C, Everett WG. Comparison of early and late closure of transverse loop colostomies. Ann R Coll Surg Engl 1984;66:331-3.
- Barnett JE, Endrey Walder P, Rheils MJ. Closure of colostomy. Aust NZ J Surg 1976;46:131-3.
- 3. Finch DR. The results of colostomy closure. Br J Surg 1976;25:397-9.
- 4. Anderson E, Carey LC, Cooperman M. Colostomy closure: a simple procedure? Dis Colon Rectum 1979;22: 466-8.
- Mirelman D, Corman ML, Veidenheimer MC, Coller JA. Dis Colon Rectum 1978;21:172.
- Livingston DH, Richadson JD, FB Miller. Are the risk after colostomy closure exaggerated? Am J Surg 1989; 158:17-20.
- Demetriades D, Pezikis A, Melissas J, Parekh D, FCSSA, Pickles G. Factors influencing the morbidity of colostomy closure. Am J Surg 1988;155:594-6.
- 8. Freund H, Raniel J, Maggia-Sulaw M. Factors affecting the morbidity of colostomy closure. Dis Colon Rectum 1982;25:712-5.
- Forrester DW, Spence VA, Walker WF. Colonic mucosalsubmucosal blood flow and the incidence of fecal fistula formation following colostomy closure. Br J Surg 1981; 68:541-4.
- Dolan P, Caldwell F, Thompson C, Westbrook K. Problems of colostomy closure. Am J Surg 1979;137:188-91.
- 11. Keighley M, Arabi Y, Alexander Williams J. Comparison between systemic and oral antimicrobial prophylaxis in colorectal surgery. Lancet 1979;1:894-7.
- 12. Thal E, Yeary E. Morbitity of colostomy closure following trauma. J Trauma 1980;20:287-91.
- 13. Knox A, Birkett F, Collins C. Closure of colostomy. Br J Surg 1971;58:669-72.
- Adeyemo A, Gaillard WE Jr, Subhi D Ali, Calhoun T, Kurtz LH. Colostomy: intraperitoneal or extraperitoneal closure? Am J Surg 1975; 130:273-4.

3 : **435**

- 15. Barron J, Fallis LS. Colostomy closure by the intraperitoneal method. Dis Colon Rectum 1958;1:466-70.

- Tilson MD, Fellner JB, Wright HK. A possible explanation for postoperative diarrhea after colostomy closure. Am J Surg 1976;131:94-8.
- 19. Henry MM, Everett WG. Loop colostomy closure. Br J Surg 1979;66:275-7.
- 20. Wheeler MH, Barker J. Closure of colostomy: A safe

- procedure? Dis Colon Rectum 1977;20:29-32.
- 21. Mitchell WH, Kovalcik PJ, Cross GH. Complications of colostomy closure. Dis Colon Rectum 1978;21:180-2.
- 22. Sarah EP, Paul RH. Complication of colostomy closure. Am J Surg 1985;149:672-5.
- 23. Mosdel DM, Raymond CD. Morbidity and mortality of ostomy closure. Am J Surg 1991;162:633-7.
- 24. Oluwole SF, Freeman HP, Davis K. Morbidity of closure of colostomy. Dis Colon Rectum 1982;25:422-6.
- 25. Parks S, Hastings P. Complications of colostomy closure. Am J Surg 1985;149: 672-75.