1998

. . . .

Clinical Analysis of Surgical Treatment for Mid and Lower Rectal Cancers

Yang Joo Moon, M.D., Byung Seok Kim, M.D., Duk Jin Moon, M.D. and Ju Sub Park, M.D.

Department of Surgery, Kwangju Christian Hospital, Kwangju, Korea

Purpose: The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the risk of local recurrence such as patients who were treated for Dukes stage B and C low rectal cancer by abdominoperineal resection (APR) or low anterior resection (LAR). **Methods:** From 1985 to 1995, 81 patients with low rectal cancers which were within 3 8 cm from the anal verge were treated by curative resection, 38 by APR and 43 by LAR. The present study examined clinical and tumor characteristics, type of intervention as potential predictors of local recurrence. Retrospective data were analysed by univariate Chi-square tests. **Results:** Local recurrence was diagnosed in 17 of 81 patients with a median follow-up period of 24 months. The local recurrence rate was 23.6% (9 of 38) after APR and 18.6% (8 of 43) after LAR. There was no difference in local recurrence between patients who had APR and LAR (P=0.58). Also we could not find any significant differences among age (65 vs > 65 years, P=0.53), sex (M vs F, P=0.57), sized of tumors (5 vs > 5 cm, P=0.32), distance from anal verge (5 vs > 5 cm, P=0.57), Dukes stage (B vs C, P=0.22), histological grade (well and moderate vs poorly, P=0.17), distance from distal resection margin (2 vs > 2 cm, P=0.35). **Conclusions:** The tumor factors such as Dukes' stage were more critical for pelvic recurrences than other patient factors. (**JKSCP 2000;16:451–455**)

Key Words: Low rectal cancer, Local recurrence, Abdominoperineal resection, Low anterior resection

3-5 가 Zerny가 . 1884 1908 Miles가 Iliac lymph 가 node dissection . Cohen Double stap-Steichen² ling technique 1979 Ravitch 309 EEA stapler 1985 1995 가 3 8 cm 264 : 503-040) (Tel: 062-650-5036, Fax: 062-671-7447) 가 가 D, A, (E-mail: GSmoom@netsgo.com)

451

가 3 cm

> 3 cm	
1985 1988	Leucovorin
5 cm	
1989 1995 2	
cm Double stapling technique	
81	
. 81 38	
43 .	Mar
23 (60.5%), 34 (79%)가	
	,
(Abdominoperineal resection) 18:20	
(Low anterior resection) 16:27 .	
24 79	1)
58 , 60 .	1)
4.4 cm 4.6 cm	
4.8 cm 6.9 cm .	
Modified Astler-Coller B1 5 : 7 ((23.6%),
:), B27† 12 : 17 , C1	
16 : 16 , C2가 5 : 3 B2 C1 가	square test
. 24 : 20 ,)
11 : 19 , 3 : 4	42.1%: 40%
(Table 1).	()
, ,	가
, (Astler Coller Modi-	2)
fication), ,	-/
Chi-Square test P 0.05	

Table 1. Patient characteristics

	APR [†] (n=38)	LAR [‡] (n=43)
Age (years)*	58	60
Sex ratio (M:F)	18:20	16:27
Size of tumor (cm)*	4.4	4.6
Distance from anal verge (cm)*	4.8	6.9
Modified Astle-Coller classification		
B1	5	7
B2	12	17
C1	16	16
C2	5	3
Histological grade		
Well differentiated	24	20
Moderately differentiated	11	19
Poorly differentiated	3	4

^{*}mean value; † abdominoperineal resection; ‡ low anterior resection.

1 96
24 .
6
1

Marsh⁶7

, , , , , .

1)

81 17 (20.9%)

9
(23.6%), 8 (18.6%) . 7
chisquare test (:
) 23.6%: 18.6%

42.1%: 40% (P=0.58).
(B:C) 12.1%: 30%

7
(Table 2).

5-FU

$$(65:>65:, P=0.53), \qquad (: , P=0.57), \\ (5:>5 \text{ cm}, P=0.32), \\ (5:>5 \text{ cm}, P=0.57), \\ (B:C, P=0.22), \qquad \text{(well and moderately:} \\$$

 Table 2. Local recurrence according to dukes stage and operation

	APR (n=38)		LAR (n=43)	
Stage	No. of patients	No. of recurrence (%)	No. of patients	No. of recurrence (%)
B1	5	0 (0.0)	7	1 (14.2)
B2	12	2 (16.6)	17	2 (11.7)
C1	16	5 (31.2)	16	4 (25.0)
C2	5	2 (40.0)	3	1 (33.3)
Total	38	9 (23.6)	43	8 (18.6)

3 : • **453**

Table 3. Relation of prognostic variables to local recurrence

Variables	P value
Age (65 vs > 65 years)	0.53
Sex (M vs F)	0.57
Tumor size (5 vs > 5 cm)	0.32
Distance from anal verge (5 vs > 5 cm)	0.57
Dukes stage (B vs C)	0.22
Histological grade	
(well and moderately vs poorly)	0.17
Abdominoperineal resection vs	
low anterior resection	0.58
Distance from DRM* (2 vs > 2 cm)	0.35

*Distal resection margin.

, , ,

가 , 가 ,

가 가 · 가 가

ファ ファ フト フト A フト D, 3 cm

. 23 (60.5%),

B C

34 (79%)가 가

가 McDermott¹⁰ 1,008

A:B:C=10%:15%:32%, : =14%:21%:26% B C 7

. 가 , 가, 가

7\\
Adloff \quad 21.8\%,
64.7\% \quad 66.6\%
\quad . \quad \quad 36\%, \quad 59\%,
\quad \quad 75\%

(well and moderately : spoorly, P=0.17). Reid $\ensuremath{^{^{12}}}$

Heald Ryall¹³, Hojo³

14 가 , Bokey (Transected mesorectal excision) 5 cm William 2 cm 5 cm Levator ani muscle 2.1 cm 가 17,18 (P=0.58). , Freedman 32 18 가 24 $Donadlson^{^{20}}\\$ Welch 2 70% , Adloff 75% 83% (15/18) 8 cm В C 가

2

2/3

가

REFERENCES

- 1. Cohen Z, Myers E, Langer B, et al. Double stapling technique for low anterior resection. Diseases of Colon and Rectum 1983;26:231-5.
- Ravitch MM, Steichen FM. A stapling instrument for end-to-end inverting anastomoses in the gastrointestinal tract. Ann Surg 1979;189:79 1-7.
- Hojo K. Anastomotic recurrence after sphincter-saving resection for rectal cancer. Length of distal clearance of the bowel. Dis Colon Rectum 1986;29:11-4.
- Rubbini M, Vettorello GF, Guerrera C, et al. A prospective study of local recurrence after resection and low stapled anastomosis in 183 patients with rectal cancer. Dis Colon Rectum 1990;33:117-21.
- Wilson SM, Beahrs OH. The curative treatment of carcinoma of the sigmoid, rectosigmoid, and rectum. Ann Surg 1976;183:556-65.
- Marsh PJ, James RD, Schofield PF. Definition of local recurrence after surgery for rectal carcinoma. Br J Surg 1995;82:465-8.
- Adloff M, Arnaud JP, Schloegel M, Thibaud D. Factors influencing local recurrence after abdominoperineal resection for cancer of the rectum. Dis Colon Rectum 1985;28:413-5.
- Graf W, Pahlman L, Enblad P, Glimelius B. Anterior versus abdominoperineal resection in the management of midrectal tumours. Acta Chirurgica Scandinavica 1990; 156:231-5.
- 10. McDermott F, Hughes E, Pihl E, et al. Long term results of restorative resection and total excision for carcinoma of the middle third of the rectum. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1982;154:833-7.
- Reid JD, Robins RE, Atkinson KG. Pelvic recurrence after anterior resection and EEA stapling anastomosis for potentially curable carcinoma of the rectum. Am J Surg 1984;147:629-32.
- 13. Heald RJ, Ryall RD. Recurrence and survival after total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. Lancet 1986;1: 1479-82.
- 14. MacFarlane JK, Ryall RDH, Heald RJ. Mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. Lancet 1993;341:457-60.
- 15. Bokey EL, Chapuis PH, Dent OF, Newland RC, Sinclair G. Local recurrence after curative excision of the rectum for cancer without adjuvant therapy: role of total anatomical dissection. Br J Surg 1999;86;1164-70.

3 : • **455**

- 16. Williams NS, Dixon MF, Johnston D. Re-appraisal of the 5 cm rule of distal excision for carcinoma of the rectum: A study of distal intramural spread and of patients survival. Br J Surg 1983;70:150-4.
- 17. Gillen P, Peel AL. Comparison of the mortality, morbidity and incidence of local recurrence in patients with rectal cancer treated by either stapled anterior resection or abdominoperineal resection. Br J Surg 1986;73:339-41.
- Rosen CB, Beart RW Jr, Ilstrup DM. Local recurrence of the rectal carcinoma after hand-sewn and staplered anastomosis. Dis Colon Rectum 1985;28:305-9.
- Freedman LS, Makaskill P, Smith AN. Multivariate analysis of prognostic factors for operable rectal cancer. Lancet 1984;29:733-6.
- 20. Welch JP, Donadlson GA. Detection and treatment of recurrent cancer of the colon and rectum. Am J Surg 1978;135:505-11.