

P < 0.05

1) , 74 15 (20.3%)
 17 가 10
 (58.9%) 가 4 (23.5%),
 , 가 1 (5.9%)
 35.1 47 27 1.7 :
 1 (Table 1). 3)
 30 (40.5%) 가
 24 (32.4%), 18 (24.3%),
 2 (2.7%) (Table 2).
 가 37 (50%) 가 38.0 34.6
 13 (17.6%), 가 .
 11 (14.9%), 7 (9.4%) 63 12 (19%)
 가 6 (8.1%) (Table 2). , 11
 3 (27.3%)
 가 .
 61 14 (23%)
 , 13 1 (7.7%)

Table 1. Age and sex distribution

Age	Male	Female	Total
0 10	15	4	19
11 20	3	0	3
21 30	4	2	6
31 40	5	6	11
41 50	8	4	12
51 60	7	3	10
61 70	4	4	8
71	1	4	5
Total	47	27	74

가 (Table 3).

4)

74 15 (20.3%)
 , 9 가
 4 , () 2

5)

Table 2. Underlying disease and type of stoma

Underlying disease	Type of stoma					Total (%)
	T-loop	T-end	S-loop	S-end	Ileostomy	
Trauma	9	6	7	4	4	30 (40.5)
Carcinoma	16	0	2	1	5	24 (32.4)
Congenital disease	11	0	4	1	2	18 (24.3)
Miscellaneous	1	0	0	1	0	2 (2.7)
Total (%)	37 (50)	6 (8.1)	13 (17.6)	7 (9.4)	11 (14.9)	74 (100)

T-loop = Transverse loop colostomy; T-end = Transverse end colostomy; S-loop = Sigmoid loop colostomy; S-end = Sigmoid end colostomy.

52.5 30.9
(P < 0.05)(Table 4).

가 (Table 4).

12 (19.2%), 12 (21%) 26 5 (27.3%) 48 10 63 12 (19.5%) 11 3 가 (Table 4).

가 (Table 4).

(18.5%) (21.3%) 27 5 47 10 6) 122.2 9.6 204 13 (P < 0.05)(Table 5).

가 (Table 4).

60 11 (18.3%)

Table 3. Effect of age, location and type of stoma construction on the risk of complication

	Complication			P value
	Yes (n=15) (%)	No (n=59) (%)		
Mean age (years)	38	34.6		NS
Stoma location	Colostoma	12 (19)	51 (81)	NS
	Ileostoma	3 (27.3)	8 (72.7)	
Stoma type	Loop	14 (23)	47 (77)	NS
	End	1 (7.7)	12 (92.3)	

NS = not significant.

Table 5. Comparison between simple closure and resection-anastomosis

	Simple closure (n=27)	Resection-anastomosis (n=47)	P value
Mean operation time (minute)	122.2	204	0.002
Mean hospital stay (day)	9.6	13.0	0.03
Incidence of complication	5 (18.5%)	10 (21.3%)	NS

NS = not significant.

Table 4. Effect of age, interval for closure, closure method, location and stoma type on the complication

	Complication			P value
	Yes (n=15) (%)	No (n=59) (%)		
Mean age (years)	52.5	30.9		0.001
Interval for closure	< 12 weeks	5 (19.2)	21 (80.8)	NS
	> 12 weeks	10 (21)	38 (79)	
Closure method	Simple closure	5 (18.5)	22 (81.5)	NS
	Resection-anastomosis	10 (21.3)	37 (78.7)	
Stoma type	Loop	11 (18.3)	49 (81.7)	NS
	End	4 (28.6)	10 (71.4)	
Stoma location	Ileostoma	3 (27.3)	8 (72.7)	NS
	Colostoma	12 (19.5)	51 (80.5)	

NS = not significant.

가
 2.10 Shellito²

2.5,7,8
 5 25%

2.9
 1 10%, 1 5%
 가

2.9,10 Doberneck¹¹ 가
 가 1

가
 가 1 6%,
 3 17% 2.8-10

가 1

2.9
 2 10%

2.9-11
 가 1

가 가 Makela¹²

10
 가 7 25% 가
 3%

2.7
 가 2 , 4
 가 1

가

가 6 12
 ,² 가

가
 가 34%
 74
 10 (13.6%)
 37 4 (11%),
 (23.1%) 11 3 (27.3%)

2.7,8

2.13-15

가
 가 39%
 7 29% 41%
 5 39% Hackam¹⁶
 Pokorny¹⁷

Shellito² 가

18 Paredes⁵
 des⁵ , , ,
 가
 52.5 30.9
 (P < 0.05).

Paredes⁵
 29%, 42%
 Beck Conklin¹⁵ 9% 24%

18.5%, 21.3%

가

19 Hubens¹⁴ Hartmann
12

20 12

12 26 5 (19.2%),
48 10 (21%)

Beck Conklin¹⁵
70 115

(P < 0.05).
2,14,15,21

5%

가
가

REFERENCES

1. Cromar CD. The evolution of colostomy. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1968;11:256-80.
2. Shellito PC. Complications of abdominal stoma surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1998;41:1562-72.
3. Khoury DA, Beck DE, Opelka FG, Hicks TC, Timmcke AE, Gathright JB Jr. Colostomy closure. Ochsner Clinic experience. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1996;39:605-9.
4. Adeyemo A, Gaillard WE Jr, Ali SD, Calhoun T, Kurtz LH. Colostomy. Intraoperative or extraperitoneal closure? *Am J Surg* 1975;130:273-4.
5. Paredes JP, Cainzos M, Garcia J, Parada P, Fernandez E, Paulos A, et al. Colostomy closure; is it an intervention without risk? *Rev Esp Enferm Dig* 1994;86:733-7.
6. Hines JR, Harris GD. Colostomy and colostomy closure. *Surg Clin North Am* 1977;57:1379-85.
7. Williams NS, Nasmyth DG, Jones D, Smith AH. De-functioning stoma; A prospective controlled trial comparing loop ileostomy with loop transverse colostomy. *Br J Surg* 1986;73:566-70.
8. Metcalf AM, Dozois RR, Beart RW Jr, Kelly KA, Wolff BG. Temporary ileostomy for ileal pouch-anal anastomosis; function and complication. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1986;29:300-3.
9. Leenen LP, Kuypers JH. Some factors influencing the outcome of stoma surgery. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1989;32:500-4.
10. Londono-Schimmer EE, Leong AP, Phillips RK. Life table analysis of stomal complications following colostomy. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1994;37:916-20.
11. Doberneck RC. Revision and closure of the colostomy. *Surg Clin North Am* 1991;71:193-201.
12. Makela JT, Turku PH, Laitinen ST. Analysis of late stomal complications following ostomy surgery. *Ann Chir Gynaecol* 1997;86:305-10.
13. Madiba TE, Mahomva O, Haffejee AA. Does type of colostomy influence outcome of colostomy closure? *S Afr J Surg* 1998;36:57-9.
14. Hubens G, Minten L, Hubens A, Willems G. Colostomy closure; still hazardous procedure. *Acta Chir Belg* 1987;87:205-10.
15. Beck PH, Conklin HB. Closure of colostomy. *Ann Surg* 1975;181:795-8.
16. Hackam DJ, Rotstein OD. Stoma closure and wound infection; an evaluation of risk factors. *Can J Surg* 1995;38:144-8.
17. Pokorny RM, Heniford T, Allen JW, Tuckson WB, Galandiuk S. Limited utility of preoperative studies in preparation for colostomy closure. *Am Surg* 1999;65:338-40.
18. Tilson MD, Fellner JB, Wright HK. A possible explanation for postoperative diarrhea after colostomy closure. *Am J Surg* 1976;131:94-8.
19. Wigmore SJ, Duthie GS, Young IE, Spalding EM, Rainey JB. Restoration of intestinal continuity following Hartmann's procedure. *Br J Surg* 1995;82:27-30.
20. , , .
1994;46:250-7.
21. , , , , .
1992;43:600-5.