Electronic Portal

Imaging Device(EPID) Portal Image

Evaluation of Usefulness of Portal Image Using Electronic Portal Imaging Device (EPID) in the Patients Who Received Pelvic Radiation Therapy

Woo Chul Kim, M.D.*, Won Park, M.D. †, Heon Jong Kim, M.D.* Seong Young Park, Ph.D.*, Young Kap Cho, M.D.*, John J K Loh, M.D.* Chang Ok Suh, M.D. † and Gwi Eon Kim, M.D. †

*Department of Radiation Oncology, Inha University Hospital, Inchon, Korea

† Department of Radiation Oncology, Yonsei Cancer Center, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea

<u>Purpose</u>: To evaluate the usefulness of electronic portal imaging device through objective compare of the images acquired using an EPID and a conventional port film.

Materials and Methods: From Apr. to Oct. 1997, a total of 150 sets of images from 20 patients who received radiation therapy in the pelvis area were evaluated in the Inha University Hospital and Severance Hospital. A dual image recording technique was devised to obtain both electronic portal images and port film images simultaneously with one treatment course. We did not perform double exposure. Five to ten images were acquired from each patient. All images were acquired from posteroanterior (PA) view except images from two patients. A dose rate of 100-300 MU/min and a 10- MV X-ray beam were used and 2-10 MUs were required to produce a verification image during treatment. Kodak diagnostic film wth metal/film imaging cassette which was located on the top of the EPID detector was used for the port film. The source to detector distance was 140 cm. Eight anatomical landmarks (pelvic brim, sacrum, acetabulum, iliopectineal line, symphysis, ischium, obturator foramen, sacroiliac joint) were assessed. Four radiation oncologist joined to evaluate each image. The individual landmarks in the port film or in the EPID were rated - very clear (1), clear (2), visible (3), not clear (4), not visible (5).

1996 1998 8 5 1998 9 25 . : , 37t 7-206 Results: Using an video camera based EPID system, there was no difference of image quality between no enhanced EPID images and port film images. However, when we provided some change with window level for the portal image, the visibility of the sacrum and obturator foramen was improved in the portal images than in the port film images. All anatomical landmarks were more visible in the portal images than in the port film when we applied the CLAHE mode enhancement. The images acquired using an matrix ion chamber type EPID were also improved image quality after window level adjustment.

<u>Conclusion</u>: The quality of image acquired using an electronic portal imaging device was comparable to that of the port film. When we used the enhance mode or window level adjustment, the image quality of the EPID was superior to that of the port film. EPID may replace the port film.

Key Words: Electronic Portal Imaging Device(EPID), Radiation Therapy

```
matrix ion chamber type EPID
                                                                                                 portal
                                                     image
                                                              port film
                                                                port film
                        Electronic Portal Imaging
                                                                 portal image
                                                                               port film
                                                                                            10)
Device(EPID)가
                                           matrix
                                                                                Verellen
                                                                                                 video
                                                      camera based EPID
ion chamber type
                   video camera based type
                                                                                   score system
    가 가
                              .1) EPID
                                                                       enhance
                                                                    port film
       setup error
   film
                port film
                                                                                     type
                                                                                             EPID가
                   2-6)
                                                                                             EPID
                               EPID가 port film
                       가
                                     가
                                                                                            가
                                                                                                 type
                                                      EPID
                                                                    portal image
                                                                                           film
                                                      port film
                                                      EPID
              가
                          가
                                       EPID
                                                           가
                                                                  EPID
          port film
         .7)
                   EPID
          double exposure
                             enhance mode
                                                        1997
                                                                        10
                       EPID
                                  video camera
based EPID가
                                      matrix ion
                                                            10
                                                                           5,
chamber type
                                                           10
                                                              (
                                                                                        5)
  .1)
                                                                           port film
                                                                                        EPID
                                                           1
                                                                    10
                   EPID
                                                                                 100
           type
                                                      portal image
           . Meertens
                             matrix ion chamber
                                                                                            10 (
type EPID image
                                                           10 )
                                                                                             port film
                        port film
                                                                                1
                                                                                       5
                                                      portal image
                                                                                 50
                                                                                                  2
             , Yin
                                                                           32
                                                                                    79
```

image 10MU

	_	_			9						
47	P.	A	•					double			
17cm	20cm		•	exposure .				EPID 3			
EPID	Siemens		camera based	,,			hamber typ	oe ³			
source to detector distance 140 cm source to detector distance 140											
	. por	rt film s	ource 14	0cm	film	cassette	140cm				
cass	sette	. be	eam energy	10MV	. bear	n energy	10MV X-	ray			
X-ray	de	ose rate	300MU/min		port film	dos	se rate 3	00MU/min			
port film	Kodak dia	gnostic fil	m^2	film , portal image 100MU/min							
	cassette			port	film 10MU		, portal im	age 10MU			
. por	t film 2ML	J		portal		. do	uble expos	ure			
Table 1. The Relative Score according to the Image Acquisition Technique of Video Camera Based EPID in Each Anatomical Landmarks											
Film											
score	pelvic brim	sacrum	acetabulum	iliopectineal line	e symphysis	ischium	SI joint	obturator			
1	45	0	1	4	0	0	0	0			
2 3 4	30 25	0 0	27 53	25 57	0 37	4 45	14 61	2 67			
	0	20	19	14	40	36	25	31			
5	0	80	0	0	23	14	0	0			
EPID no	EPID no enhancement										
score	pelvic brim	sacrum	acetabulum	iliopectineal line	e symphysis	ischium	SI joint	obturator			
1	49	0	2 21	4	0	0	.0	0 3			
1 2 3 4 5	33 18	0 0	21 51	23 51	0 31	4 36	11 53	3 51			
4	0	27	26	22	41	37	36	51 46			
5	0	73	0	0	28	23	0	0			
EPID w	indow level										
score	pelvic brim	sacrum	acetabulum	iliopectineal line	e symphysis	ischium	SI joint	obturator			
1	58 27	Ō	4	12	0	.0	0	0			
1 2 3 4	27 15	1 12	33 49	31 46	4 43	15 44	22 64	22 58			
4	0	45	14	11	35	26	14	20			
5	0	42	0	0	18	15	0	0			
EPID CLAHE enhancement											
score	pelvic brim	sacrum	acetabulum	iliopectineal line	e symphysis	ischium	SI joint	obturator			
1	81	0	11	14	7	1	9	11			
2 3 4	17	6 42	51 36	48 35	31 37	48 42	72 19	64 22			
4	2	38	2 0	35 3	37 17	9	6	22 3 0			
5	0	14	0	0	8	0	0	0			
score-1	score-1: very clear, 2: clear, 3: visible, 4: not clear, 5: not visible										

ΑP

¹BeamviewPLUS1.2, Siemens, Concord, CA ²Kodak Diagnostic film, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY ³Varian Portal Vision 3.2, Varian Associates, Palo Alto, CA.

Table 2. Comparison between Video Camera Based EPID Image and Port Film in Each Antomical Landmarks

		pelvic brim	sacrum	acetabulum	iliopectineal line	symphysis	ischium	SI joint	obturator
film EPID(N)	vs.	0.324	0.244	0.283	0.346	0.312	0.112	0.117	0.062
film EPID(W)	VS.	0.039	0.001	0.1	0.026	0.091	0.107	0.029	0.001
film EPID(C)	VS.	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
EPID(N) EPID(W)	vs.	0.259	0.001	0.009	0.003	0.009	0.003	0.001	0.001
EPID(N) EPID(C)	VS.	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
EPID(W) EPID(C)	vs.	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

 $\label{eq:film:port$

4 port film enhancement 가 (pelvic portal image (acetabulum), window level brim), (sacrum), portal image (iliopectineal line), (symphysis), obturator sacrum (ischium), (obturator foramen), portal image (sacroiliac joint) very clear(1), clear(2), CLAHE enhance visible(3), not clear(4), not visible(5) film port film enhance window level , port film portal image pelvic brim . port film , CLAHE enhance portal image (enhance) port film window level portal CLAHE(contrast limited adaptive histogram image port equalization) feature , 3 film 가 matrix ion chamber type **EPID** mode가 matrix ion chamber type window level .(Table 3, 4) port film Mantel-Haenszel chiportal image pelvic brim, symphysis, ischium square test , portal image window level port film iliopectineal line, SI joint

window level

portal image

video camera based EPID

.(Table1, 2) film

Table 3. The Relative Score according to the Image Acquistion Technique of Matrix Ion Chamber EPID in Eeach Anatomical Landmarks

Film

score	pelvic brim	sacrum	acetabulum	iliopectineal line	symphysis	ischium	SI joint	obturator			
1 2 3 4 5	5 19 21 5 0	0 0 0 10 40	0 4 13 17 16	0 9 13 10 18	0 1 5 12 32	0 0 3 13 34	0 3 14 27 6	0 3 17 18 12			
EPID no	EPID no enhancement										
score	pelvic brim	sacrum	acetabulum	iliopectineal line	symphysis	ischium	SI joint	Obturator			
1 2 3 4 5	10 22 17 1 0	0 0 3 18 29	0 0 16 25 9	0 0 12 20 18	0 0 24 22 4	0 3 17 22 8	0 0 14 26 8	0 3 18 25 4			
EPID w	EPID window level										
score	pelvic brim	sacrum	acetabulum	iliopectineal line	symphysis	ischium	SI joint	Obturator			
1 2 3 4 5	29 17 4 0 0	0 0 6 28 16	0 7 15 24 4	0 3 16 19 12	1 7 27 11 4	0 9 28 12 1	0 5 23 19 3	0 8 26 14 2			

score-1 : very clear, 2 : clear, 3 : visible, 4 : not clear, 5 : not visible

Table 4. Comparison between Matrix Ion Chamber EPID Image and Port Film in Each Antomical Landmarks

		pelvic brim	sacrum	acetabulum	iliopectineal line	symphysis	ischium	SI joint	obturator
film EPID(N)	VS.	0.036	0.009	0.81	0.054	0.001	0.001	0.596	0.268
film EPID(W)	vs.	0.001	0.001	0.029	0.767	0.001	0.001	0.038	0.001
EPID(N) EPID(W)	vs.	0.001	0.001	0.023	0.057	0.01	0.001	0.01	0.009

film: port film, EPID(N): no enhanced portal image, EPID(W): Adjustment of window level of portal image

가 set up **EPID** port film double exposure film 가 가 extra dose가 3 가 double exposure (verification) (bony structure)가 **EPID** 가

film cassette 3 가 cassette double film exposure film 가 double exposure 가 가 port film portal image portal image double exposure 가 **EPID** 가 portal image portal image EPID가 matrix ion chamber type portal image port film Yin vertebral body, acetabulum, 가 symphysis port film , pelvis lateral image port film portal image 가 가 standard acquisition mode monitor 가 가 가 가 . Yin 4 . video camera based 가 **EPID** matrix ion **EPID** chamber type video camera based type 가 enhance mode 가 가 **EPID** 가 가 **EPID** 2 **EPID** film (sex) (bone density) camera setting, **EPID** port film gantry angle, image processing 가 , window level portal enhance port film image mode 가 port film monitor 가 가가 matrix ion chamber type double exposure calibration calibration dose rate beam energy calibration acquisition mode film cassette 1. Boyer AL, Antonuk L, Fenster A et al. A review of electronic portal imaging devices(EPIDs). Med Phys 가

1991; 19:1-16

- Bijhold J, Lebesque JV, Hart AAM, Vijlbrief R. Maximizing setup accuracy using portal images as applied to a conformal boost technique for prostatic cancer. Radiother Oncol 1992; 24:261-271
- Van Tienhoven G, Lanson JH, Crabeels D, Mijnheer BJ. Accuracy in tangential breastsetup: A portal imaging study. Radiother Oncol 1991; 22: 317-322
- 4. Bel A, Herk MV, Bartelink H Lebesque JV. A verification procedure to improve patient set-up accuracy using portal images. Radiother Oncol 1993; 29:252-260
- 5. Reindstein LE. Pai S, Meek A. Assessment of geometric treatment accuracy using time-lapse display of electronic portal images. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1992; 22:1139-1146
- 6. Ezz A, Munro P, Porter AT, et al. Daily monitoring and correction of radiation field placement using a video-based portal imaging system; A pilot study. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1991; 22: 159-165
- 7. , , , , , Electronic Portal Imaging Device

1996; 14:69-76

- Meertens H, Herk MV, Bijhold J et al. First clinical experience with a newly developed electronic portal imaging device. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1990; 18:1173-1181
- Yin FF, Rubin P, Schell MC et al. An observer study for direct comparison of clinical efficacy of electronic to film portal images. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1996; 35:985-991
- 10. Verellen D, Neve WD, Heuvel VD et al. On-line portal imaging: image quality defining parameters for pelvic fields - A clinical evaluation. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1993; 27:945-952

= =

Electronic Portal Imaging

Device(EPID) Portal Image : matrix ion chamber type EPID video camera based EPID portal image film port film **EPID** 10 : 1997 5-10 port film **EPID** 10 32 79 portal image ΑP PA 17cm 20cm 100-300MU/min 10MV X-ray 2-10MU beam energy dose rate . port film Kodak diagnostic film film cassette . source to detector(film) distance 140cm pelvic brim, sacrum, acetabulum, iliopectineal line, symphysis, ischium, obturator foramen, sacroiliac joint clear(1), clear(2), visible(3), not clear(4), not visible(5) : video camera based EPID film port film enhancement portal image window level portal image sacrum obturator portal image CLAHE enhance . matrix ion chamber type film **EPID** portal image port film , portal image window level port film **EPID** port film 가 , window level enhance port film port film

٠