· X-				<u>フト</u>		
	가			~ 1		,
	8	2		16 ml	18 ml	
	(he prin)		10 ml vac	utainer (,) 17	2 ml
cGy	$10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$	240 c 9	Gy		50, 100, 200, (400 cGy)	, 300, 400, 600,
20, 40, 60, 80 フト 46±2), 100, 160, 240, 320), 400 cGy/m	iin	1	フト 50	
	SPSS				50	
50 100 200 300	400 600 800 cGy	0	0 20 27 4	: 55 88 100	7)%	(yield)
()	50, 1	.00, 200, 300	, 400, 600), 800 cGy	0.000, 0.
0.200, 0.364, 0.6 50, 100,	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	800 cGy D+ D ²	0.000 Liner- q), 0.093, 0 Juadratic r	200, 0.364, 0.6 nodel 71	13, 2.040, 2.846 Ydr=0.188 × 10
0.200, 0.364, 0.6 50, 100, D'Gy+0.422 × 10	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	800 cGy D+ D ² 50, 100, 2	0.000 Liner- q 00, 300, 400 Ydr), 0.093, 0 Juadratic r), 600, 80	200, 0.364, 0.6 node1 71 0	13, 2.040, 2.846 Ydr=0.188 × 10 0.000, 1.
0.200, 0.364, 0.6 50, 100, D'Gy+0.422 × 10 1.000, 1.333, 1.11 20, 40,	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	800 cGy D+ D ² 50, 100, 2 0, 320, 400 c (,	0.000 Liner- q 00, 300, 400 Ydr :Gy/min), 0.093, 0 juadratic r), 600, 80	200, 0.364, 0.6 node1 7 0 400	13, 2.040, 2.846 Ydr=0.188 × 10 0.000, 1.
0.200, 0.364, 0.6 50, 100, D'Gy+0.422 × 10 1.000, 1.333, 1.11 20, 40,	12, 2.040, 2.846 200, 300, 400, 600, 3 Ydr Ydr= $^{4}/Gy^{2} \times D^{2}$ (Qdr) 18, 2.3 18, 2.846 60, 80, 100, 160, 240	800 cGy D+ D ² 50, 100, 2 0, 320, 400 c (,	0.000 Liner- q 00, 300, 400 Ydr :Gy/min ,), 0.093, 0 juadratic r), 600, 80	200, 0.364, 0.6 node1 7 0 400) 7	(Yd 13, 2.040, 2.846 Ydr=0.188 × 10 0.000, 1.
0.200, 0.364, 0.6 50, 100, D/Gy+0.422 × 10 1.000, 1.333, 1.1 20, 40, 	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	800 cGy D+ D ² 50, 100, 2 0, 320, 400 c (,	0.000 Liner- q 00, 300, 400 Ydr :Gy/min ,), 0.093, 0 juadratic r), 600, 80	200, 0.364, 0.6 node1 7 0 400) 7	(Yd 13, 2.040, 2.846 Ydr=0.188 × 10 0.000, 1. cGy
0.200, 0.364, 0.6 50, 100, D'Gy + 0.422 × 10 1.000, 1.333, 1.11 20, 40, 	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	800 cGy D+ D ² 50, 100, 2 0, 320, 400 c (_ ,	0.000 Liner- q 00, 300, 400 Ydr cGy/min ,), 0.093, 0 juadratic r), 600, 80	200, 0.364, 0.6 node1 7 0 400) 7	(Yd 13, 2.040, 2.846 Ydr=0.188 × 10 0.000, 1. cGy
$\begin{array}{c} 0.200, \ 0.364, \ 0.6\\ 50, \ 100, \\ 0.202 \times 10^{-1}\\ 0.000, \ 1.333, \ 1.112\\ 20, \ 40, \\ \hline \\ $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	800 cGy D+ D ² 50, 100, 2 0, 320, 400 c (,	0.000 Liner- q 00, 300, 400 Ydr :Gy/min ,), 0.093, 0 juadratic r), 600, 80	(200, 0.364, 0.6) (100, 0	(Yd 13, 2.040, 2.846 Ydr=0.188 × 10 0.000, 1. cGy
0.200, 0.364, 0.6 50, 100, D'Gy+0.422 × 10 1.000, 1.333, 1.11 20, 40, 	12, 2.040, 2.846 200, 300, 400, 600, 3 Ydr Ydr= ⁴ /Gy ² × D ² (Qdr) 18, 2.3 18, 2.846 60, 80, 100, 160, 240 7 , , , X-	800 cGy D+ D ² 50, 100, 2 0, 320, 400 c (,	0.000 Liner- q 00, 300, 400 Ydr :Gy/min ,), 0.093, 0 juadratic r), 600, 80) 717 717	200, 0.364, 0.6 node1 7 0 400) 7	(Yo 13, 2.040, 2.846 Ydr=0.188 × 10 0.000, 1. cGy
0.200, 0.364, 0.6 50, 100, D'Gy+0.422 × 10 1.000, 1.333, 1.1 20, 40, 	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	800 cGy D+ D ² 50, 100, 2 0, 320, 400 c (, , , , , , ,	0.000 Liner- q 00, 300, 400 Ydr cGy/min ,), 0.093, 0 juadratic r), 600, 80), 7;7; 7;7;	200, 0.364, 0.6 node1 7 0 400) 7	(Yd 13, 2.040, 2.846 Ydr=0.188 × 10 0.000, 1. cGy

- 138 -

가 , 가

10)

, DNA

가

11, 12)

가 가 (Ydr) (Qdr)가 .17) Ydr = (+)/(), Qdr = (+ 가 가)/() Ydr 8, 9) , Qdr . 가

dose calibration Х-(Linac 600c 6 Mev Varian, USA)

> (50 cGy) (800 cGy) (240 cGy/min) X-가 가 가 가 가 , (400 cGy) (20 cGy/min) (400 cGy/min) Х-가 가 ,

가 가

1. Х-

> 28 2 18 ml 16 ml (heprin) 10 ml vacutainer (2 ml)

> 1 16 17 poly-가 carbonate water phantom (dose calibration) (tube) (Linac 600c 6 MeV Varian, USA) Х-100 cm $10 \times 10 \text{ cm}^2$ 240 cGy 50, 100, 200, 300, 400,

600, 800 cGy 9 1 .

가 가 13 15)

(chromosomal aberration)

가 PHA(phytohemagglutinin 16)

(dicentric chromosome), (ring chromosome) (acentric fragment pair)

(breakage) (chemical mutagen) (chromatid aberration)

가

- 139 -

2000;18(2):138 149

(400 cGy) 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 160, 240, 320, 400 cGy/min 1 . 7∤ DNA 37 (Bectn, Dicknson, USA) 1

· ·

2. Harvest

 7!
 0.5 mL
 RPMI
 1640
 7.5 mL

 (Gibco, USA), fatal calf serum
 1.5 mL
 (Gibco, USA), P.H.A

 0.1 mL
 (Gibco, USA)
 7!
 5% CO2

(Incubator) 48 . 45

Colcemide 0.1 mL (Gibco, USA) 가 (48). 48 1000 rpm 8 37 (water bath) 가 0.075 M KCl 8 mL 가 8 10 1000 rpm 8 2 cell pellet mL (methanol:acetic acid=3:1) 가 6 7 mL가 30 cell pellet 3 cell pellet 1 m (slide glass) 4 5 60 () $2 \times SCC$ 10 60

(heat block) . 2 mL Wright + 1 mL (buffer) 12 Wright . Wright 2 3 .

3. Scoring

(metaphase)

1000 フト 46±2



4.







Fig. 1. Microscopic appearance chromosomal aberration cells (x1000):ring chromosome (A arrow), dicentric chromosome, acentric fragment pairs (B arrow), in human peripheral lymphocytes after irradiation.

100

Daga (aCxi)	No. of Colla Spaced	No. of Cells With	Vald (00	Number of Aberration		
Dose (CGy)	No. of Cells Scored	Dicentric & Ring	rield (%)	Dicentric	Ring	Fragment
0	27	0	(0)	0	0	0
50	50	0	(0)	0	0	0
100	43	4	(9)	4	0	3
200	30	6	(20)	6	0	9
300	66	18	(27)	22	2	19
400	31	17	(55)	16	3	11
600	25	22	(88)	47	4	27
800	13	13	(100)	34	3	20

Table 1. Total Chromosomal Aberrations by Various Radiation Dose

Table 2. Distribution of Dicentric and Ring Chromosomes by the Number of Aberration Cells

Dose	Total	Number of Aberration Cells						
(cGy)	Aberrations	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
50	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
100	4	39	4	0	0	0	0	0
200	6	24	6	0	0	0	0	0
300	18	48	14	4	0	0	0	0
400	17	14	14	3	0	0	0	0
600	22	3	4	10	6	1	1	0
800	13	0	2	3	5	1	1	1
	가							가
					フ	ŀ		
		가						
	(Yield)				50 c	Gy	0%	á, 100
cGy	9%, 200 cGy	7	20%,	300 c	Зy	27%	6, 400) cGy
5	5%, 600 cGy	88	8%, 80)0 cGy	,	100%)	
	,							
가	가	(Table	1).				
				(
)		가			가		, 600
cGy				2				
가	가	フ	' ŀ	(Tabl	e 2, F	ig. 2)).	
5	0 100 200 30	0 40	0 60	n <u>800</u>	o Car			0.000
0.093	0, 100, 200, 30 0.200, 0.333, 0	516	1880	0, 000 2.615	cGy			0.000,
0.075,								
0 22 1			0.000	, 0.000	.,			0.000
0.231	,							0.000,

0.070, 0.300, 0.287, 0.355, 1.080, 1.538 (Table 3).

(Fig. 3). Table 2 Ydr



Fig. 2. Relative proportion of aberration cells with dicentric and ring chromosomes according to radiation dose. * Numbers of chromosomal aberrations in one lymphocyte.

Table 3. Average Frequency of Chromosomal Aberrations by Radiation Dose

Dose (cGy)	Dicentric	Ring	Fragme	nt Dicentric + Ring
0	0	0	0	0
50	0	0	0	0
100	0.093	0	0.070	0.093
200	0.200	0	0.300	0.200
300	0.333	0.030	0.287	0.364
400	0.516	0.096	0.355	0.612
600	1.880	0.160	1.080	2.040
800	2.615	0.231	1.538	2.846
+	/) 50, 100, 200,
300, 400. 60	0, 800 cGy		0.000,	0.093, 0.200, 0.364,
0.612, 2.040,	2.846	Qdr	(Qdr=	+
/				가
)	0.00	0, 1.000,	1.000,	1.333, 1.118, 2.318,
2.846	(Table 4). Y	'dr Qd	r	가
가	800 cGv	Yc	lr i	Odr .

(Ydr=

2000;18(2):138 149



Fig. 3. Yield of chromosomal aberrations to solid line increase according to radiation dose.

Table 4. Ydr and Qdr Values by Radiation Dose

Radiation Dose (cGy)	Ydr	Qdr
50	0	0
100	0.093	1.000
200	0.2	1.000
300	0.364	1.333
400	0.613	1.118
600	2.04	2.318
800	2.846	2.846

800 cGy

			(Fig. 4, 5).	
			-	Y=
D+	D ₂		Table 3	
가		,		



)

Fig. 4. Ydr values to estimated radiation dose. *Ydr=(dicentric +ring)/ (



Fig. 5. Qdr values to estimated radiation dose. *Qdr=(dicentric +ring)/ (dicentric ring 7⁺).

, linearquadratic model Ydr=0.188 × $10^{-2}/\text{Gy} \times D + 0.422 \times 10^{-4}/\text{Gy}^2 \times D^2$ (Fig. 4). linearquadratic model

가

- 142 -

55,

		<u>,</u>					
Dose Rates	No. of Colla Soorad	No. of Cells with	Viald(0)	Number of aberration			
(cGy/ min)	No. of Cells Scored	Dicentric & Ring	rield(%)	Dicentric	Ring	Fragment	
20	58	31	(53)	55	2	25	
40	43	23	(53)	35	1	19	
60	50	26	(52)	42	1	18	
80	28	15	(54)	19	1	12	
100	38	21	(55)	28	1	21	
160	29	16	(55)	26	2	20	
240	44	25	(57)	35	5	29	
320	41	24	(59)	38	3	20	
400	36	22	(61)	24	5	24	

6 :

Table 5. Total Chromosomal Aberrations by Various Different Dose Rates



Fig. 6. Yield of chromosomal aberrations to solid line according to radiation dose rate.

Table 6, Fig. 7

0.948, 0.814, 0.840, 0.679, 0.737, 0.897, 0.795, 0.927, 0.667 , 0.034, 0.023, 0.020, 0.053, 0.026, 0.069, 0.114, 0.079, 0.139 , 0.431, 0.442, 0.360, 0.429, 0.553, 0.690, 0.659, 0.488, 0.667 (Table 7). 7 7

7† 0.982, 0.837,

가

0.860, 0.732, 0.763, 0.966, 0.909, 1.006, 0.806

Table 6. Distribution of Dicentric and Ring Chromosomes by the Number of Aberration Cells according to Dose Rate



Fig. 7. Relative proportion of aberration cells with dicentric plus ring chromosomes according to radiation dose rate. * Numbers of chromosomal aberrations in one lymphocyte.

	가		(Ta	ble 7).	가	
Ydr	Qd	r			(Table 8).	
		Ydr		0.982,	0.837, 0.860, 0.732,	,
0.763,	0.966,	0.909, 1.006,	0.806		(Fig. 8), Qdr	

2000;18(2):138 149

Dose Rate (cGy/ min)	Dicentric	Ring	Fragment	Dicentric + Ring
20	0.948	0.034	0.431	0.982
40	0.814	0.023	0.442	0.837
60	0.840	0.020	0.360	0.860
80	0.679	0.053	0.429	0.732
100	0.737	0.026	0.553	0.763
160	0.897	0.069	0.369	0.966
240	0.795	0.114	0.659	0.909
320	0.927	0.079	0.488	1.006
400	0.667	0.139	0.667	0.806

Table 7. Average Frequency by Dose Rate

Table 8. Ydr and Qdr Values by Radiation Dose Rate (cGy/ $\min)$

Dose Rate (cGy/min)	Ydr	Qdr
20	0.983	1.839
40	0.837	1.565
60	0.860	1.654
80	0.714	1.333
100	0.763	1.381
160	0.966	1.750
240	0.909	1.600
320	1.000	1.710
400	0.806	1.318



Fig. 8. Ydr values to estimated radiation dose rate.





가



Fig. 9. Qdr values to estimated radiation dose rate.



100 Gy

10 Gy

. 10 Gy

- 3 Gy 10 Gy 30 60 フトフト
- 7† . . 3 Gy
- ר, ^{27, 28)} ביי
- ・ ^{29 31)} ³²⁾ ³³⁾ ³⁴⁾ ⁷⁾ フト . フト
- 가 가
- BrdUrd 가 , 가
- . DNA
- (double strand break) . DNA 가
 - 37)
 - DNA 가

- 가
- フト . フト .³⁸⁾

.

. , - 가 , ア ア ン 80% ア

.

- 7
 ,
 7

 30
 ,

 12
 - PHA .¹⁶⁾ . DNA
 - . . .
 - Chinese hamster 가 가

,

, 40, 41) . 1989 Guedeney ^{42, 43)}

6 :

36)

7† . (yield) , 60Co -

RBE ^{30, 31)}
X(dose rate) .
Table 1
7

7† . 7† . 7† , harvest . IAEA 48 7†

(yield) 가 -- 가 .

- 19, 23)

(linear energy transfer; LET)7 . high LET . 29, 32) - X-

. , 7ł Co-60 -

Х-6 MeV Х-Ydr 5.27 × , 10^{-4} /Gy, 4.80×10^{-6} .²⁴⁾ Co-60 - $0.21 \quad 16.37 \times 10^{-2}/\text{Gy}$, 1.98 7.59 × 10^{-2} /Gy ² 22) 가 Qdr Ydr 800 cGy Ydr 2.846, Qdr 22) 2.846 2.776, 2.945 Ydr, Qdr 24) 2.70, 2.70 Ydr, 가 800 Qdr 2.8 ± 0.1 가 cGy 44, 45) Bedford

1 cGy/min 100 cGy HeLa 100 cGy/min 700 cGy/min 7 . 37 cGy/ hour 154 cGy/hour

(inverse dose rate effect) .^{46, 47)}

, . Ydr Qdr . 가 .

> 가 가 . 1

가

가

6 :

가

- Х-
- 가

1)

 71
 (yield)
 50, 100, 200,

 300, 400, 600, 800 cGy
 0, 9, 20, 27, 55, 88, 100%

 .

(

가

- 2)
-) 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 600, 800 cGy 0.000, 0.093, 0.200, 0.364, 0.612, 2.040, 2.846 3)
- (Ydr) 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 600, 800 cGy 0.000, 0.093, 0.200, 0.364, 0.613, 2.040, 2.846
- Ydr Ydr=0.188 × 10⁻² × D/Gy + 0.422 × 10^{-4} /Gy² × D² .

4) 7 (Qdr) 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, 600, 800 cGy 0.000, 1.000, 1.000. 1.333, 1.118, 2.318, 2.846 Ydr

5) 400 cGy 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 160, 240, 320, 400 (cGy/min)

- 1. Zoetelier J, Broerse JJ. Dosimetry for radiation accidents; Present status and prospects for biological dosemeters. Int J Radiat Biol 1990; 57:737-750
- Littlefield LG, Joiner EE, Colyer SP, et al. The 1989 san salvador Co-60 radiation accident; Cytogenetic dosimetry and follow up evaluations in three accident victims. Radiat Protection Dosimetry 1991; 35:115-123
- 3. Kim ES, Kim JS. Medical preparedness in radiation accidents. J Korean Ass Radiat Prot 1996; 21:202-215

- 4. Lee HY, Oh HJ, Kim HJ, et al. Standardization of biological dosimetry; Analysis of chromosome aberrations for dose assessment. The Report of National Institute of Health 1990; 27(2):447-451
- Lee HY, Oh HJ, Kim HJ, et al. Standardization of biological dosimetry (II); Analysis of chromosome aberrations for dose assessment. The Report of National Institute of Health 1991; 28(2):419-423
- 6. Zoetelief J, Broerse JJ. Dosimetry for radiation accidents : present status and prospects for biological dosemeters. Int J Radiat Oncol Phys Biol 1990; 57(4):737-750
- Shon JS. An analysis of Radiation exposure of hospital radiation workers. Graduate School of Public Health, Kosin University 1998; 17-24
- Preston RJ, Brewen JG, Gengozlan N. Persistence of radiation induced chromosome aberrations in marmoset and man. Radiat Res 1974; 60:5 16-524
- **9.** Amdrew DK, Halperin EC, Erexson GL, et al. The persistence of lymphocytes with dicentric chromosomes following whole body X irradiation of mice. Radia Res 1990; 124:22-27
- Leonard A, Decat G, Leonard ED, et al. Chromosome aberrations in patients irradiated for pelvic tumors. Strahlentherapie und Onkologie 1987; 163:795-799(Nr.12)
- 11. Jung GH, Cheon KJ, Jung HW, et al. The study of radiation effect to cells of Mice The J of the Korean Association for Radiation Protection 1985; 10(1)
- Bigatti P, Lamberti L, Ardito G, et al. Cytogenetic monitoring of hospital workers exposed to low-level ionizing radiation. Mutation Res 1988; 204:343-347
- Brewen JG, Preston RJ, Littlefield LG. Radiation induced human chromosome aberration yields following an accidental whole-body exposure to Co-60 -rays. Radiat Res 1972; 49:647-656
- Leonard A, Deknudt Gh, Leonard D. Persistence of chromosome aberrations in an accidentally irradiated subject. Radiat Protection Dosimetry 1988; 22:55-57
- Gale RP. Anna Butturini Use of hematopoietic growth factors in radiation accidents. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1990; 19:1291-1295
- Nowel PC. "Phytohemagglutinin: An initiator of mitosis in cultures of normal human leukocytes". Cancer Res 1960; 20:462-466
- 17. Brewen JG, Gengozian N. Radiation induced human chromosome aberrations; II. human in vitro irradiation compared in vitro and in vivo irradiation of marmoset kukocytes. Mutation Res 1971; 13:383-391
- International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements. ICRP publication No. 60 New York, Pargamon Press 1991
- 19. Ko JH, Jung N, Jun YG. The effect of high dose radiation on the life of white blood cells. The J of the Korean Association for Radiation Protection 1984; 9(2):67-75

2000;18(2):138 149

- 20. Gogna NK, Biggs J, Phil D. Lung dose rate and interstitial pneumonitis in total body irradiation for bone marrow transplantation. Austral Radiol 1992; 36:3 17-320
- Hall EJ. Radiation dose rate: A factor of importance in radiobiology and radiotherapy. Br J Radiol 1972; 45:81-97
- 22. Jung IR. Acute radiation symptoms and treatment. J of the Korean Soc of Radiological Technology. 1987; 10(1):3-11
- 23. Ahn YC, Ha SH. The study about the chromosomal abnormalities of human peripheral blood cells after external irradiation. The J. of the Korean Association for Radiation Protection 1993; 18(2):1-16
- 24. Yi CJ, Ha SW. Analysis of chromosomal aberration induced by bw dose of radiation. J Korean Soc Ther Radiol 1993; 11, December:223-240
- 25. Shon JK. Biological dose assessment for chromosomal aberration induced by x-ray irradiation in human peripheral blood lymphocytes in vitro. Graduate School of Public Health, Kosin University 1998; 28-41
- 26. Hall EJ. Radiobiology for the radiologist. 4th Edition: Lippincott Co. 1994; 107-132
- 27. Bond VP, Thiessen JW(eds). Re-evaluation of dosimetric factors; Hiroshima and Nagasaki, Spring field VA, US department of energy/US department of commerce 1982
- 28. Fujita S, Awa AA, Pierce DA, et al. Re-evaluation of the biologic effects of atomic bomb radiation by the changes of estimation(SIC) dose. In proceedings of the International symposium on the biological effects of low-level radiation with special regard to stochastic and nonstochastic effects. Vienna IAEA 1983
- 29. Carrano AV. Induction of chromosomal aberrations in human lymphocytes by X-rays and fission neutrons; Dependence on cell cycle stage. Radiat Res 1975; 63:403-421
- 30. Schmid E, Bauchinger M. Chromosome aberrations in human lymphocytes after irradiation with 15.0-MeV neutrons in vitro. II. analysis of the number of absorption events and the interaction distance in the formation of dicentric chromosomes. Mutation Res 1975; 27:111-117
- 31. Kim SH, Kim TH, Jung V, et al. The measurement of the chromosomal abnormalities of human peripheral blood cells due to cyclotron, neutron and Co-60 ray of KCCH. The J. of the Korean Association for Radiation Protection 1992; 17(1):21-29
- 32. Bauchinger M, Schmid E, Rimpl G. Interaction distance of primary lesions in the formation of dicentric chromosomes after irradiation of human lymphocytes with 3-MeV electrons in vitro. Mutation Res 1974; 25:83-87
- 33. Lloyd DC, Edwards AA, Leonard A, et al. Frequencies of chromosomal aberrations induced in human blood lymphocytes by low doses of X-rays. Int J Radiat Biol 1988; 53(1):49-55

- 34. Barquinero JF, Barrios L, Caballin MR, et al. Cytogenetic analysis of lymphocytes from hospital workers occupationally exposed to low levels of ionizing radiation. Mutation Res 1993; 286:275-279
- 35. Fabry F, Leonard A, Wambersie A. Induction of chromosome aberrations in G0 Human lymphocytes by law doses of lonizing radiations of different quality. Radiat Res 1985; 103: 122-134
- 36. Jins il Seong. Radiation induced G2 chromatid break and repair kinetics in human lymphoblastoid cells. J Korean soc Ther Radiol 1993; 11(2):193-203
- 37. Chadwick KH, Leenhouts HP. "The rejoining of DNA double-stranded breaks and a model for the formation of chromosomal rearrangements". Int J Radiat Biol 1978; 33: 517-529
- 38. Fabry L, Leonard A, Decat G, et al. Chromosome aberrations in mixed cultures of in vitro irradiated and unirradiated human lymphocytes. Strahlentherapie und Onkologie 1988; 164:108-110
- **39. IAEA.** Biological Dosimetry : chromosomal aberration analysis for dose assessment, technical reports series 1986; No. 260
- 40. Bajerska A. and Liniecki J. The yield of chromosomal aberrations in rabbit lymphocytes after irradiation in vitro and in vivo. Mutation Res 1975; 27:271-284
- 41. Kligerman AD, Halperin EC, Erexson GL, et al. A cytogenetic comparison of the responses of mouse and human peripheral blood lymphocytes to Co-60 radiation. Radiat Res 1988; 115:334-346
- 42. Heather DS, Sharpe BA, Batchelor AL, et al. Radiation induced chromosome damage in human peripheral blood lymphocytes in vitro; II. RBE and dose-rate studies with Co-60 and X-rays. Mutation Res 1970; 9:225-237
- 43. Guedeney G, Malarbet JL, Doloy MT. Chromosomal aberrations in Cynomolgus peripheral lymphocytes during and after fractionated whole body - irradiation. Int J Radiat Biol 1989; 55:861-870
- 44. Bedford JS, Hall EJ. Survival of HeIa cells cultured in vitro and exposed to protracted gamma irradiation. Int J Radiat Biol 1963; 7:377-383
- 45. Mitchell JB, Bedford JS, Bailey SM. Dose-rate effects on the cell cycle and survival of S3 HeLa and V79 cells. Radiat Res 1979; 79:520-536
- **46.** Hall EJ, Brenner DJ. The dose-rate effect revisited : Radiobiological considerations of importance in radiotherapy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1991; 21:1403-1414
- 47. Foray N, Arlett CF, Malaise EP. Dose-rate effect on induction and repair rate of radiation induced DNA doublestrand breaks in a normal and an ataxia telangiectasia human fibroblast cell line. Biochimie 1995; 77:900-905

- Abstract

The Frequency of Chromosomal Aberrations of Peripheral Lymphocytes according to Radiation Dose and Dose Rate

Tae Sik Jeong, M.D.^{*}, Heum Man Baek, MPH.^{*}, Byung Chul Shin, M.D.^{*}, Chang Woo Moon, M.D.^{*}, Mi Hyang Kim, M.D.[†] Yong Hwan Lee, M.D.[‡] and Ha Yong Yum, M.D.^{*}

^{*}Department of Radiation Oncology, [†] Clinical Pathology, [‡] Preventive Medicine, Kosin Medical College and Medical Center, Pusan, Korea

<u>**Purpose</u>**: It was studied that the relationship between radiation dose, dose rate and the frequency of chromosomal aberrations in peripheral lymphocytes.</u>

<u>Methods and Materials</u>: Peripheral lymphocytes were irradiated in vitro with 6 MeV X-ray at dose ranges from 50 cGy to 800 cGy. The variations of the frequency of chromosomal aberrations were observed according to different radiation dose rate from 20 cGy/min to 400 cGy/min at constant total dose of 400 cGy which it was considered as factor to correct biological radiation dose measurement.

Results :The yields of lymphocytes with chromosomal aberrations (dicentric chromosome, ring chromosome, acentric fragment pairs) are 0% at 50 cGy, 9% at 100 cGy, 20% at 200 cGy, 27% at 300 cGy, 55% at 400 cGy, 88% at 600 cGy, and 100% at 800 cGy. The value of Ydr is 0.000 at 50 cGy, 0.093 at 100 cGy, 0.200 at 200 cGy, 0.364 at 300 cGy, 0.612 at 400 cGy, 2.040 at 600 cGy, and 2.846 at 800 cGy. The relationship between radiation (D) and the frequency of dicentric chromosomes and ring chromosomes (Ydr) can be expressed as Ydr=0.188 × 10⁻²/Gy × D+0.422 × 10⁻⁴/Gy² × D². The value of Qdr is 0.000 at 50 cGy, 1.000 at 100 cGy, 1.000 at 200 cGy, 1.333 at 300 cGy, 1.118 at 400 cGy, 2.318 at 600 cGy, and 2.846 at 800 cGy. When 400 cGy is irradiated with different dose rate each of 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, 160, 240, 320, and 400 cGy/min, Ydr is each of 0.982, 0.837, 0.860, 0.732, 0.763, 0.966, 0.909, 1.006, and 0.806, and Qdr is each of 1.839, 1.565, 1.654, 1.333, 1.381, 1.750, 1.6000, 1.710, and 1.318. **Conclusion** :There are not the significant variations of Ydr and Qdr values according to different dose rate. And so radiation damage is influenced by total exposed radiation doses and is influenced least of all by different dose rate when it is acute single exposure.

Key Words :X-ray radiation, Dose rate, Lymphocytes, Chromosome aberration, Biological dosimetry